PATENT 454313-22201

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants

Jean-Christophe AUDONNET et al.

Serial No.

09/943,443

Filed

August 30, 2001

For

FELINE POLYNUCLEOTIDE VACCINE FORMULA

RECEIVED OLUTRAL FAX DENTER

AUG 1 6 2004

Art Unit

1642

Examiner

Stacy Brown Chen

745 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10151

FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Angela M. Collison, Reg. No. 51,107

Type or print name of person signing certification

Angela M. Colleson
Signature

August 16, 2004

Date of Signature

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT AND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action containing a restriction requirement mailed June 15, 2004 setting a one-month period for reply. Applicants elect, with traverse, the claims of Group II.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136(a) and 1.17(a), a one-month extension of the term for reply, i.e., to up to and including August 15, 2004 is requested. As August 15, 2004 fell on a Sunday, this response is being filed the following business day, Monday, August 16, 2004. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge \$110.00 in payment of the fee therefore, as well as any other required fee, or to credit any overpayment in fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

00211926

PAGE 2/4 * RCVD AT 8/16/2004 5:45:13 PM (Eastern Daylight Time) * 8VR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/1 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:12125880500 * DURATION (mm-ss):61-42

1251 - 110

PATENT 454313-2220.1

may result in any divisional applications filed). Restriction has not been shown to be proper, especially since it has been shown that the requisite showing of serious burden has not been made. Indeed, the search and examination of each Group would be likely to be co-extensive and, in any event, would involve such interrelated art that the search and examination of the entire application can be made without undue burden on the Examiner. All of the preceding, therefore, mitigate against restriction.

Consequently, reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement and election of species are respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP Attorneys for Applicants

By: Manas Q. Manaski by Queele M. Collison Thomas J. Kowlski Reg. No. 32,147 Angela M. Collison Reg. No. 51,107 Tel. (212) 588-0800